arXiv:1611.01014v2 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 15 Dec 2016

New applications of the Lambert and generalized Lambert functions to ferromagnetism and quantum mechanics

Victor Barsan
IFIN-HH, Str. Reactorului nr. 30, Magurele 077125, Romania

December 16, 2016

Abstract

The applications of the recent results obtained in the theory of generalized Lambert functions, to the mean field theory of ferromagnetism and quantum mechanics are presented. As a consequence, all the predictions of the Weiss theory of ferromagnetism can be explicitly and exactly formulated. In several quantum mechanical problems involving delta function potentials, the solutions of the transcendental eigenvalue equations are expressed in terms of two parameters generalized Lambert functions. Some others, till unnoticed examples of eigenvalue equations whose solutions can be written using the Lambert W function, are also presented.

1 Introduction

In a series of recent papers, Mezö and co-workers [1], [2], [3] and Mugnaini [4] published very interesting results concerning the generalized Lambert functions. Similar to the Lambert function $W(a)$, which is defined as the solution of the transcendental equation [5]:

$$xe^x = a \tag{1}$$

the generalized Lambert function $W(t_1, t_2, ...t_n; s_1, s_2, ...s_m; a)$ is the solution of a similar, but more complicated transcendental equation [1], [4]:

$$e^x \frac{(x-t_1)...(x-t_n)}{(x-s_1)...(x-s_m)} = a \tag{2}$$

If the denominator, respectively the nominator of the fraction in eq. (2) is equal to 1, we shall denote the solutions $W(-; s_1, s_2, ...s_m; a)$, respectively $W(t_1, t_2, ...t_n; -; a)$. In several particular cases of generalized Lambert functions, explicit series expansions formulas are obtained [1], [4]. They are very important for explicitly solving some transcendental equations of magnetism,

quantum mechanics, hydrodynamics and of other branches of physics. The applications in pure mathematics are also very rich.

In the present paper, we shall make use of the solution of the equation

$$e^x \frac{x-t}{x-s} = a \tag{3}$$

which is denoted $W(t;s;a)$, and of the equation

$$e^x (x-t_1)(x-t_2) = a \tag{4}$$

which is denoted $W(t_1,t_2;-;a)$. The series expansion of $W(t;s;a)$ near the origin has the form:

$$W(t;s;a) = t - T\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{L_n'(nT)}{n} e^{-nt} a^n \tag{5}$$

where $T = t - s \neq 0$, and L_n' is the first derivative of the $n-$th order Laguerre polynomial.

$W(t;s;a)$ can also be expressed in terms of the $r-$Lambert function, defined by Mezö and Baricz [1] as the inverse of the function $xe^x + rx$, with r - a fixed real number, denoted by W_r. Clearly, if $r = 0$, W_r becomes the W Lambert function. The connection between $W(t;s;a)$ and W_r can be easily obtained as (Theorem 3 of [1]):

$$W(t;s;a) = t + W_{-a\exp(-t)}\left(a(t-s)e^{-t}\right) \tag{6}$$

As noticed by Mezö and Baricz [1], $W(t_1,t_2,...t_n;s_1,s_2,...s_m;a)$ is not, properly speaking, a generalization of W, in the sense that there is no particular choice of the parameters $t_1,t_2,...t_n;\ s_1,s_2,...s_m$ or a, which can transform the generalized Lambert function into the W Lambert function. However, as just mentioned, the $r-$Lambert function, W_r, has this property.

By inspection of the plots of the functions entering in eq. (3), it is easy to see that W_r can have one, two or three branches. Mezö and Baricz [1] described rigorously the branch structure of W_r and pointed out that, among these branches, a special role is played by $W_{1/e^2}(x)$. For $x = -4/e^2$, $W_{1/e^2}(x)$ is continuos (as everywhere on the real line) but is not differentiable, and

$$W_{1/e^2}\left(-4/e^2\right) = -2 \tag{7}$$

The formula (6) must be used with a certain caution, as in the definition of W_r, r was considered to be a fixed real number. Under this condition, the branch structure of W_r, its derivative and primitive were obtained. However, in (6), t, s and a are arbitrary real parameters, so r is a real function.

Mezö and Baricz [1] also obtained the series expansion of $W_r(x)$ around $x = 0$. The coefficients of the series are expressed in terms of Mezö-Baricz polynomials $M_k^{(n)}$ ([1], eq. (14)):

$$W_r(x) = \frac{x}{r+1} + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} M_{n-1}^{(n)}\left(\frac{1}{r+1}\right)\frac{x^n}{(r+1)^n\, n!} \tag{8}$$

Let us refer now to the function $W(t_1, t_2; -; a)$. Its series expansion around $a = 0$ is given by:

$$W(t_1, t_2; -; a) = t_1 - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!n}\left(\frac{ane^{-t_1}}{t_2 - t_1}\right)^n B_{n-1}\left(-\frac{2}{n(t_2 - t_1)}\right) \tag{9}$$

where B_n are Bessel polynomials.

The main goal of this paper is to reveal several applications of generalized Lambert functions $W(t; s; a)$ and $W(t_1, t_2; -; a)$ in physics, mainly in ferromagnetism and quantum mechanics, not yet reported in literature. We shall also discuss some other transcendental equations, which can be solved with the Lambert W function, but this possibility was not yet noticed, to the best of our knowledge.

2 Solutions of some transcendental equations using Lambert and generalized Lambert functions

We shall study the applications in ferromagnetism and quantum mechanics of two transcendental equations:

$$\tanh x = bx \tag{10}$$

which is sometimes called Weiss equation of ferromagnetism, and

$$\tanh x = \frac{b}{x} \tag{11}$$

We shall call eq. (11) the 'inverse Weiss equation', just in order to have a convenient terminology in this paper. The equations (10), (11) were analyzed by Mezö and Keady in the context of hydrodynamics: they describe the phase velocity, respectively the dispersion of water waves ([3], eqs. (7) and (5)). Here, we shall discuss the relevance of these equations in the context of ferromagnetism and quantum mechanics.

Weiss equation can be put in the form:

$$e^{2x}\frac{x - \frac{1}{b}}{x + \frac{1}{b}} = -1 \tag{12}$$

and has the solution:

$$x = \frac{1}{2}W\left(\frac{2}{b}; -\frac{2}{b}; -1\right) = \frac{1}{b} + \frac{1}{2}W_{\exp(-2/b)}\left(-\frac{4}{b}e^{-2/b}\right) \tag{13}$$

which can be easily obtained following the steps described in subsection 2.3.2 of [3] and applying the formula (6). Similarly, using the approach of subsection 2.3.1 of the same paper, applied to the inverse Weiss equation, we find that it can be put in the form:

$$e^{2x}\frac{x-b}{x+b}=1 \tag{14}$$

and has the solution:

$$x=\frac{1}{2}W\left(2b;-2b;1\right)=b+\frac{1}{2}W_{-\exp(-2b)}\left(4be^{-2b}\right) \tag{15}$$

It is also useful to mention that the solution of the equation:

$$e^{-cx}=a_0\left(x-r\right) \tag{16}$$

can be expressed in terms of the Lambert W function [5]:

$$x=r+\frac{1}{c}W\left(\frac{ce^{-cr}}{a_0}\right) \tag{17}$$

3 An outline of Weiss theory of ferromagnetism

As Weiss theory of ferromagnetism is one of the main beneficiaries of the progress made in understanding the explicit form of generalized Lambert function, we shall outline it in this section, following [6]. A system of N particles of spin S can be in a ferromagnetic state, described by the equation of state:

$$M=M_0B_S\left(\frac{\overline{\mu}S}{kT}\left(H+\lambda M\right)\right),\ \overline{\mu}=g\mu_B \tag{18}$$

The magnetization M depends of temperature T and of external magnetic field H:

$$M=M\left(T,H\right) \tag{19}$$

The saturation magnetization M_0 is:

$$M_0=M\left(T=0,H=0\right)=N\overline{\mu}S=NSg\mu_B. \tag{20}$$

The parameter λ represents the molecular field parameter, introduced by Pierre Weiss in 1907, and B_S is the Brillouin function:

$$B_S\left(x\right)=\frac{2S+1}{2S}\coth\left(\frac{2S+1}{2S}x\right)-\frac{1}{2S}\coth\left(\frac{1}{2S}x\right) \tag{21}$$

If $S=1/2$:

$$B_{1/2}\left(x\right)=\tanh x \tag{22}$$

In this paper we shall only discuss the case $S = 1/2$. However, the case $S = \infty$, involving the Langevin function $L(x)$, $B_\infty(x) = L(x)$, involves essentially the same mathematics ([3], subsection 2.2.1). Coming back to the case $S = 1/2$, let us notice that, if the external field is zero, $H = 0$, eq. (18) becomes:

$$M = M_0 \tanh\left(\frac{\overline{\mu} S}{kT}\lambda M\right) \tag{23}$$

This equation has a non-zero solution, and, equivalently, the system has a spontaneous magnetization $M \neq 0$, if the temperature T is under a critical value, namely under the critical temperature T_c, given by the following relation:

$$T_c = \lambda \frac{N\overline{\mu}^2}{4k} \tag{24}$$

It is convenient to introduce the reduced parameters:

$$t_0 = \frac{T}{T_c},\ h = \frac{\overline{\mu} H}{2kT_c},\quad m = \frac{M}{M_0} \tag{25}$$

as the equation of state (18) can be written now in a simpler form:

$$m(t_0, h) = \tanh\frac{m(t_0, h) + h}{t_0} \tag{26}$$

We choose the notation t_0 instead of the usual notation t for the reduced temperature, in order to avoid any confusion with the parameter t entering in the formulas concerning the generalized Lambert function $W(t; s; a)$. The reduced magnetization in zero external field is:

$$m(t_0, 0) = \tanh\frac{m(t_0, 0)}{t_0} \tag{27}$$

and the critical isotherm is given by the equation:

$$m(1, h) = \tanh(m(1, h) + h) \tag{28}$$

It can be also written as:

$$h = \tanh^{-1} m(1, h) - m(1, h) \tag{29}$$

In the critical region, m, $h \ll 1$; as

$$\tanh^{-1} x = \frac{1}{2}\ln\frac{1+x}{1-x} = x + \frac{1}{3}x^3 + O\left(x^5\right),\ x \ll 1 \tag{30}$$

we get:

$$h \simeq \frac{1}{3} m(1, h)^3,\quad h, m \ll 1 \tag{31}$$

Similarly, in the absence of the magnetic field, near $t_0 = 1$, putting $t_0 = 1 - \varepsilon$, (27) gives:

$$m = \tanh \frac{m}{t_0} = \tanh \frac{m}{1-\varepsilon} \simeq \tanh m\left(1+\varepsilon\right) \simeq m\left(1+\varepsilon\right) - \frac{1}{3}m^3\left(1+\varepsilon\right)^3 \quad (32)$$

or:

$$1 - t_0 \simeq \frac{1}{3}m^2, \qquad m, 1-t_0 \ll 1 \quad (33)$$

The behavior of the reduced spontaneous magnetization near the reduced critical temperature $t_c = 1$

$$m \sim \sqrt{1-t_0} \quad (34)$$

is typical for a mean field theory of critical phenomena [6]. Actually, the formulas (31), (33), (34) give the critical behavior of the Weiss ferromagnet. As the spontaneous magnetization decreases monotonically when temperature increases, its maximum value is reached at $T = 0$, and:

$$m\left(0,0\right) = 1 \quad (35)$$

4 Applications of the new results concerning generalized Lambert functions to ferromagnetism

Replacing in eq. (10)

$$x \to \frac{m\left(t_0\right)}{t_0}, \; b \to t_0 \quad (36)$$

and using the formula (6), we get the following relations for the reduced magnetization in the absence of the magnetic field:

$$m\left(t_0\right) = \frac{t_0}{2}W\left(\frac{2}{t_0}; -\frac{2}{t_0}; -1\right) = 1 - 2\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{L_n'\left(4n/t_0\right)}{n}\left(-e^{-2/t_0}\right)^n \quad (37)$$

Actually, eq. (37) can be directly obtained from [3], eq. (7), making the replacement $y_c \to t_0$. It is easy to see that the condition

$$m\left(0\right) = 1 \quad (38)$$

is fulfilled, as $L_1'\left(x\right) = -1$ and $\lim_{t_0 \to 0} e^{-2/t_0} = 0$. Due to the exponential term, the series in the r.h.s of (37) is rapidly convergent. The expression (37) of the magnetization can be also expressed in terms of the $r-$Lambert function as (see eq. (6)):

$$W\left(\frac{2}{t_0}; -\frac{2}{t_0}; -1\right) = \frac{2}{t_0} + W_{\exp(-2/t_0)}\left(-\frac{4}{t_0}\exp\left(-2/t_0\right)\right) \quad (39)$$



Figure 1: The reduced spontaneous magnetization of the Weiss model.

In the case of critical temperature, $t_0 = 1$ and the index of W_r takes its critical value, namely:

$$r = \frac{1}{e^2} \tag{40}$$

In this case:

$$W\left(2; -2; -1\right) = 2 + W_{1/e^2}\left(-\frac{4}{e^2}\right) = 0 \tag{41}$$

according to eq. (7). Consequently, according to (41), the reduced magnetization at the critical temperature is zero:

$$m\left(1\right) = 0 \tag{42}$$

More than this, as mentioned just before eq. (6), the magnetization is not differentiable in $t = 1$, but is still continuos. This behavior is compatible with the aspect of the experimental curve of reduced spontaneous magnetization at critical temperature (see Fig. 1).

If the magnetic field is non-zero, eq. (26) can be written as:

$$\tanh\left(\frac{m\left(t_0, h\right)}{t_0} + h\right) = t_0\left(\frac{m\left(t_0, h\right)}{t_0} + h\right) - t_0 h \tag{43}$$

or, putting

$$u = \frac{m\left(t_0, h\right)}{t_0} + h \tag{44}$$

as:

$$\tanh u = t_0 \left(u - h\right) \tag{45}$$

or, equivalently:

$$e^{2u} \frac{u - h - \frac{1}{t_0}}{u - h + \frac{1}{t_0}} = -1 \tag{46}$$

According to (13), the solution of this equation is:

$$u = \frac{1}{2} W\left(2h + \frac{2}{t_0}; 2h - \frac{2}{t_0}; -1\right) \tag{47}$$

so, finally we get:

$$m\left(t_0, h\right) = \frac{t_0}{2} W\left(2h + \frac{2}{t_0}; 2h - \frac{2}{t_0}; -1\right) - h \tag{48}$$

or, using eq. (6):

$$m\left(t_0, h\right) = W_{\exp(-2h - 2/t_0)}\left(\frac{4}{t_0} e^{-2h - 2/t_0}\right) - h\left(1 - t_0\right) + 1 \tag{49}$$

The critical isotherm is obtained making in the previous formula the replacement $t_0 \to 1$:

$$m\left(1, h\right) = W_{\exp(-2(h-1))}\left(4e^{-2(h-1)}\right) + 1 \tag{50}$$

Let us notice that the $r-$Lambert functions entering in eqs. (39), (49), (50) have quite special forms:

$$W_x\left(2x \ln x\right),\ W_x\left(2\left(x - a\right) \ln x\right),\ W_x\left(bx\right) \tag{51}$$

These results are important, as they allow explicit calculation of any physical quantity of Weiss theory of ferromagnetism, for $S = 1/2$. There are very few realistic physical theories in such a situation - evidently, a consequence of the fact that the Brillouin function $B_{1/2}$ can be inverted using generalized Lambert functions. We can wonder if there is another Brillouin function with this property.

The answer can be easily obtained, if we write the Brillouin function $B_S\left(x\right)$ as a ratio of two polynomials in $\exp\left(x/2S\right)$: there is no other B_S, with finite S, which can be inverted using the generalized Lambert functions. Only for $S = \infty$, the Langevin function, $L\left(x\right)$, can be inverted, as previously noted. It has a somewhat more complicated form, compared with (51), namely (see subsection 2.2.1 of [3] and eq. (6) of this paper):

$$L^{-1}(a) = -\frac{4}{a+1} - 2W_{-\frac{a-1}{a+1}\exp\left(-\frac{2}{a+1}\right)}\left(-\frac{4}{(a+1)^2}\exp\left(-\frac{2}{a+1}\right)\right) \quad (52)$$

So, the W_r function in (52) has the form $W_x(bx)$ (see eq. (51)), with $b = 4/\left(a^2-1\right)$.

One of the tasks of the theory is to show that eq. (49) is compatible with the critical behavior of a Weiss ferromagnet, eqs. (31), (34). The remark inserted just after eq. (42) is a step in this direction.

5 Applications of Lambert and generalized Lambert functions to quantum mechanics

The first appearance of a Lambert equation in quantum mechanics is probably a toy model for the ionized H_2 molecule: an electron moving in 1D, in the potential of two identical, attractive delta functions, simulating the two hydrogen nuclei (protons). The model was proposed by Frost in 1956 [7]. Its eigenvalue equation is a transcendental equation in c :

$$c = g\left(1 \pm e^{-cR}\right) \quad (53)$$

with the solutions:

$$c^{(\pm)} = g + \frac{1}{R}W\left(\pm Rg \cdot e^{-Rg}\right) \quad (54)$$

which give the electron eigenenergies:

$$E^{(\pm)} = -\frac{1}{2}\left(c^{(\pm)}\right)^2 \quad (55)$$

The 'plus' sign corresponds to the ground state, and the 'minus' - to the (unique) excited state. The results (54), (55) were obtained in 1993 by Scott et al. [8]. We repeated this short calculation for reasons of completeness.

It is quite surprising that, since 1993 till now, the eq. (53) was discussed in a large number of papers, the last one being [9] (see eq. (16) of this paper), but its connection with the Lambert function remained unnoticed; apparently, the only exception is provided by [1]. Anyway, we must keep in mind that the spectrum of a particle moving in 1D in the potential of two symmetric, attractive delta functions can be expressed in terms of the Lambert function.

Actually, the conclusion is more general: the Lambert and the generalized Lambert functions systematically appear in spectral problems of Schroedinger equations with delta function potentials. We shall illustrate this conclusion with several examples.

An attractive delta function situated near an impenetrable wall (see for instance [10], problem 3.9)

$$-\frac{\hbar^2 g}{2m}\delta\left(x-a\right) \tag{56}$$

leads to a bound state energy condition:

$$\tanh k=\frac{k}{g-k}, \tag{57}$$

with the solution:

$$k=-\frac{1}{2}\left(g+W\left(ge^{g}\right)\right) \tag{58}$$

An attractive delta potential:

$$-\frac{\hbar^2 g}{2m}\delta\left(x-a\right) \tag{59}$$

in the middle of an infinite square well leads to a Weiss equation ([10], problem 3.11):

$$\tanh kL=\frac{2}{g^2 L}kL \tag{60}$$

The case of two different delta functions ([10], problem 3.11)

$$V\left(x\right)=\frac{\hbar^2 g_1}{2m}\delta\left(x+a\right)+\frac{\hbar^2 g_2}{2m}\delta\left(x-a\right) \tag{61}$$

produces a richer physics. The attractive, symmetric case ($g_1=g_2$), firstly analyzed by Frost [7], was already discussed (see eq. (55) of this paper).

The case of antisymmetric potentials ($g_1=-g_2=g$) leads to the following eigenvalue equation ([10], Problem 3.12.b):

$$e^{K}=\frac{4a^2 g^4}{\left(2ag^2-K\right)\left(2ag^2+K\right)} \tag{62}$$

with the solution given by a generalized Lambert function:

$$K=W\left(2ag^2,-2ag^2;-;-4a^2 g^4\right) \tag{63}$$

The case of attractive but different delta functions was solved by Mezö and Keady [1].

Even a single attractive delta function can produce a spectrum given by a generalized Lambert function - namely by $W\left(t;s;x\right)$ - if the boundary conditions are less usual [11]. The Dirichlet boundary conditions generate an eigenvalue equation for the wave vector k similar to the Weiss equation:

$$\tanh ka=\frac{k}{\Omega} \tag{64}$$

($a,\ \Omega$ are parameters) and the Neumann boundary conditions, one similar to the inverse Weiss equation:

$$\tanh ka = \frac{\Omega}{k} \tag{65}$$

Going further to higher dimensions, let us notice that the rotational spectrum of an attractive delta-shell potential (see [12], eq. (13)) is given by an equation:

$$k\left(1 + \coth kr\right) = V_0 \tag{66}$$

which can be solved using the Lambert function:

$$k = \frac{V_0}{2} + \frac{1}{2r} W\left(-rV_0 \exp\left(-rV_0\right)\right) \tag{67}$$

The most general non-relativistic quantum mechanical problems involving δ and δ' functions were studied from the perspective of mathematical physics by Albeverio [13]. Finitely many point interactions in 3D might lead to eigenvalues equations like (see [13], eq. (1.1.81))

$$y = \pm e^{-y} - 4\pi\alpha L \tag{68}$$

with the solutions:

$$y^{(\pm)} = -4\pi\alpha L + W\left(e^{\pm 4\pi\alpha L}\right) \tag{69}$$

Both periodic delta interactions and infinitely many δ' interactions in 1D might produce Weiss and inverse Weiss equations (see [13], eq. (2.3.25), (3.39), (3.40)). The physical applications cover toy models for crystals with impurities, Kronig - Penney models etc. Other similar applications are discussed by Valluri [14].

6 Conclusions

Due to the interesting results obtained recently in the study of the generalized Lambert equation, the exact analytical solution of Weiss equation can be explicitly written, as a series expansion. In this way, the Weiss theory of ferromagnetism becomes one of the very few cases when all the predictions of a quite realistic description of a physical system can be exactly and analytically obtained. The applications of the explicit formulas obtained for the generalized Lambert functions $W\left(t; s; a\right)$ and $W\left(t_1, t_2; -; a\right)$ to ferromagnetism and quantum mechanics are also discussed. Finally, the author draws attention upon several transcendental eigenvalues equations of quantum mechanics, whose solutions can be expressed in terms of the W Lambert function.

The author acknowledges the financial support of the IFIN-HH - ANCSI project PN 16 42 01 01/2016 and to the IFIN-HH - JINR Dubna grant 04-4-1121-2015/17.

References

[1] Mezö, I. and Baricz, A., On the generalization of the Lambert W function, arXiv: 1408.3999v2 (2014)

[2] Mezö, I. and Keady, G., Some physical applications of generalized Lambert functions, arXiv: 1505.01555v2 (2015)

[3] Mezö, I. and Keady, G., Some physical applications of generalized Lambert functions, Eur.J.Phys. **37,** 065802 (2016)

[4] Mugnaini, G., Generalization of Lambert W-function, Bessel polynomial and transcendental equations, arXiv:1501.00138v2 (2015)

[5] Corless, R. M. et al., On the Lambert W function, Adv. Comput. Math. **5**, 329 (1996)

[6] Stanley, H. E.: Introduction to Phase Transitions and Critical Phenomena, Oxford University Press, New York, 1971

[7] Frost, A. A., Delta-Function Model. I. Electronic Energies of Hydrogen-Like Atoms and Diatomic Molecules, J. Chem. Phys. **25**, 1150 (1956)

[8] Scott T. C. et al., J. Chem.Phys. **99**, 2841 (1993)

[9] Ahmed, Z. et al., Revisiting double Dirac delta potential, Eur.J.Phys. **37,** 045406 (2016)

[10] Tamvakis, K.: Problems of quantum mechanics, Cambridge University Press (2005)

[11] Rabinovitch, A., Negative energy states of "inverted" delta potentials: influence of boundary conditions, Am.J.Phys., **53,** 768 (1985)

[12] Lassaut, M.**,** The rotational spectrum of the attractive delta - shell potential, Phys. Scripta 78 (2008) 035008

[13] Albeverio, S.: Solvable Models in Quantum Mechanics, 2nd ed., American Mathematical Society Chelsea Publishing, 2005

[14] Valluri, S. et al., Some applications of the Lambert W function to physics, Can.J.Phys. **78**, 823 (2000)